

due : Monday October 23    3:00 pm

Do all 5 questions. Each counts 20%.

1. Could the following three functions be Marshallian demand functions for a consumer with well-behaved preferences? Explain briefly.

$$x_1(\mathbf{p}, y) = \frac{y}{p_1} - \sqrt{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} - \sqrt{\frac{p_3}{p_1}}$$

$$x_2(\mathbf{p}, y) = \sqrt{\frac{p_1}{p_2}}$$

$$x_3(\mathbf{p}, y) = \sqrt{\frac{p_1}{p_3}}$$

2. Find all the violations of the strong and weak axioms of revealed preference in the following table, which indicates the prices  $p^t$  of three different commodities at four different times, and the quantities  $x^t$  of the 3 goods chosen at the four different times. (For example, the third row indicates that the consumer chose the bundle  $\mathbf{x} = (25, 15, 10)$  when the price vector was  $\mathbf{p} = (3, 1, 1)$ .)

$t$	$p_1^t$	$p_2^t$	$p_3^t$	$x_1^t$	$x_2^t$	$x_3^t$
1	1	1	3	20	20	10
2	2	1	2	30	10	20
3	3	1	1	25	15	10
4	1	3	1	20	15	20

3. If a risk-averse utility maximizer had a utility-of-wealth function

$$u(W) = \log W$$

what would be the risk premium to a gamble which would double her wealth with probability 0.5, and cut her wealth in half with probability 0.5?

4. Find a utility function  $U(\cdot)$  such that the following statement would be true for an expected utility maximizer with this utility function : “If my initial wealth was 100, I would not make this investment, but if my initial wealth were 200 I would make the investment. The investment will decrease my wealth by 40 with probability 0.5, and increase my wealth by 50 with probability 0.5.”

**question 5 is on the next page**

5. How would the tax rate  $t$  on capital gains affect the following [risk-averse, von Neumann-Morganstern expected utility maximizing] person's behaviour?

The person has an initial wealth of  $W$ . She chooses how much of that wealth to invest in a stock. The stock will either succeed or fail.

If the stock succeeds, she will make a gain of  $G$  dollars per dollar invested (so that if she had invested  $x$  dollars in the stock, the investment would now be worth  $(G + 1)x$  dollars.)

If the stock fails, it is worthless.

The probability of success for the stock is  $\pi$ .

Any money which she does **not** invest in the stock earns a net return of zero. (So that the  $W - x$  dollars she does not invest in the stock will still be worth  $W - x$ , for certain.)

She must pay a tax of  $t$  dollars for every dollar of capital gains, but gets a tax credit of  $t$  dollars for every dollar she loses in the stock market.