

# Bertrand Duopoly

**prices** are the strategic variables

quantity sold by firm 1 :  $q^1(p^1, p^2)$

$$\pi^1 = p^1 q^1(p^1, p^2) - C[\mathbf{w}, q^1(p^1, p^2)] \quad (1)$$

prices chosen simultaneously

(Nash) equilibrium : a pair of prices  $(p^1, p^2)$ , such that  $p^1$  maximizes  $\pi^1$ , given  $p^2$ , and such that  $p^2$  maximizes  $\pi^2$ , given  $p^1$

## “benchmark” case

*i* **homogeneous** output ; i.e. firm 1’s product is a **perfect substitute** for firm 2’s

*ii* constant returns to scale :  $C(\mathbf{w}, q) \equiv cq$ , where  $c$  is some constant (which depends on input prices)

market demand :  $D(p)$  is the equation of the market demand curve for the homogeneous product

homogeneous product  $\rightarrow$  buyers always buy from cheapest source

implication

## demand for firm $i$ 's product

if  $p^1 > p^2$ , then  $q^1(p^1, p^2) = 0$

why? everyone buys from (cheaper) firm #2

if  $p^1 < p^2$ , then  $q^1(p^1, p^2) = D(p^1)$

everyone buys from firm #1

if  $p^1 = p^2$ , then

$$q^1(p^1, p^2) = q^2(p^1, p^2) = \frac{1}{2}D(p^1) \quad (2)$$

(rule (2) is not essential)

## Nash equilibrium

$$p^1 > p^2 > c ?$$

can't be an equilibrium : firm #1 makes zero profits (since it has zero sales) ; given  $p^2$ , firm #1 can do better than that, by choosing some  $p'$  between  $c$  and  $p^2$  (if  $c < p' < p^2$ , then firm #1 will get positive sales from charging the price  $p'$ , and will make positive profits, since  $p' > c$ )

similarly,  $p^2 > p^1 > c$  cannot be a Nash equilibrium

$$\text{what about } p^1 = p^2 > c?$$

can't be an equilibrium

when  $p^1 = p^2 > c$ , firm 1's profits are

$$\frac{1}{2}[p^2 - c]D(p^2)$$

by lowering its price very slightly, from  $p^2$  to  $p' = p^2 - \epsilon$ , firm #1 lowers its profit margin very slightly, from  $p^2 - c$  to  $p' - c$

but this slight price reduction will more than **double** its sales : from  $\frac{1}{2}D(p^2)$  to  $D(p') > D(p^2)$

if  $\epsilon$  is small enough ( $p'$  close enough to  $p^2$ ), this change in strategy must increase firm 1's profits, so that  $p^1 = p^2 > c$  cannot be a Nash equilibrium

## what's left?

how about  $p^1 > p^2 = c$  ?

also can't be a Nash equilibrium : firm #2 gets all the sales, but has zero profits (since its price equals its average cost) ; given  $p^1$ , firm #2 can increase profits by raising its price from  $p^2 = c$  to some  $p'$  with  $p^1 > p' > c$  ; if  $p' < p^1$  firm #2 will still get all the sales, but if  $p' > c$  firm #2 will now make a positive profit per unit sold

clearly there can be no Nash equilibrium in which **either** firm charged a price below cost : the lower-price firm will make negative profits ; it always could do better by charging some price above  $c$ , which guarantees profits are 0 or positive

the unique Nash equilibrium in this market is  
 $p^1 = p^2 = c$

if  $p^2 = c$ , firm 1 makes zero profits by charging a price of  $p^1 = c$ ; but it cannot do better than that: any price above  $c$  gets it zero sales, and any price below  $c$  gives it negative profits

very different results than Cournot: with homogeneous output, and constant costs, a little competition is the same as perfect competition as long as the number of firms  $J$  in the market is greater than 1, then the equilibrium price will be  $c$ , whether  $J$  is 2, or 3, or 1000