

## COV VS. PP SUPPLEMENT

This proof comes from *Cardinal Arithmetic*, p. 88.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $\sigma$  is regular and uncountable, and  $\theta > \sigma$ . Then*

$$\sup\{\text{pp}_{\Gamma(\theta,\sigma)}(\lambda^*) : \lambda^* \in [\kappa, \lambda], \sigma \leq \text{cf}(\lambda^*) < \theta\} + \lambda = \text{cov}(\lambda, \kappa, \theta, \sigma) + \lambda.$$

*Proof.* In class we proved the “ $\leq$ ” inequality and saw the following two exercises:

**Exercise 2.** If  $\lambda > \kappa$  and  $\sigma$  is regular, then

$$\text{cov}(\lambda, \kappa, \theta, \sigma) = \sum_{\mu \in [\kappa, \lambda]} \text{cov}(\mu, \mu, \theta, \sigma).$$

**Exercise 3.** If  $\lambda = \kappa$  and  $\text{cf}(\lambda) < \sigma$  then  $\text{cov}(\lambda, \kappa, \theta, \sigma) \leq \lambda$ .

So we may assume that  $\lambda = \kappa$  and  $\text{cf}(\kappa) \geq \sigma$ .

Suppose  $\text{pp}_{\Gamma(\theta,\sigma)}(\lambda) = \mu > \lambda$  (things reduce somewhat under our assumptions on the cardinals). We will prove that  $\text{cov}(\lambda, \kappa, \theta, \sigma) \leq \mu$ .

Let  $\chi = (2^{2^\lambda})^+$  and choose  $N \prec (H_\chi, \in, <^*)$  so that  $|N| = \mu$  and  $\mu + 1 \subseteq N$ . We will prove that  $[\lambda]^{<\kappa} \cap N$  is a  $< \sigma$ -covering family.

For this, suppose  $\sigma \leq \theta^* < \theta$  and  $f : \theta^* \rightarrow \lambda$  is the increasing enumeration of a set to be covered.

Define  $I$  to be the  $\sigma$ -complete ideal of all  $B \subseteq \theta^*$  for which  $f \upharpoonright B$  is a  $< \sigma$ -sized union of members of  $[\lambda]^{<\kappa} \cap N$ . In case  $f$  cannot be covered,  $I$  is a proper ideal. Let

$$H = \{h : h : \theta^* \rightarrow P(\lambda) \cap N, (\forall i < \theta^*) f(i) \in h(i), \text{ and there exist } \xi^* < \sigma \\ \text{and } \langle X_\zeta : \zeta < \xi^* \rangle \text{ so that } X_\zeta \in [P(\lambda)]^{<\kappa} \cap N, \text{range}(h) \subseteq \bigcup_{\zeta < \xi^*} X_\zeta\}.$$

Let  $G$  be the set of functions with domain  $\theta^*$  so that there is some  $h \in H$  for which  $g(i) = |h(i)|$ . The sets  $H, G$  are nonempty—the constant  $\{\lambda\}$  function is in  $H$ , for example, corresponding to the constant  $\lambda$  function in  $G$ .

Let  $g^* \in G$  be  $<_I$ -minimal, corresponding to some  $h^* \in H$  witnessed by some  $\langle X_j : j < j^* \rangle$ .

**Claim 4.** *The set  $\{i < \theta^* : g^*(i) = 1\}$  belongs to  $I$ .*

Proof of claim: for each  $j < j^*$ , the set  $\{\alpha < \lambda : \{\alpha\} \in X_j\} \in [\lambda]^{<\kappa} \cap N$ , so the claim follows immediately from the definition of  $I$  by noting that the image of  $\{i < \theta^* : g^*(i) = 1\}$  under  $f$  is the union over  $j^*$  of such sets.  $\dashv$

Take in  $N$  a function which associates to  $y \subseteq \lambda$  a  $\subseteq$ -increasing sequence  $\langle y^{[\epsilon]} : \epsilon < \text{cf}(|y|) \rangle$  so that  $y = \bigcup_{\epsilon < \text{cf}(|y|)} y^{[\epsilon]}$ .

Let  $\lambda_i := \text{cf}(g^*(i))$ .

Let  $\tau^* = \sup_j |X_j|$ . Then  $\tau^* < \kappa$  since  $\kappa = \lambda$  has cofinality  $\geq \sigma > j^*$ . For every  $i$  with  $g^*(i) > \tau^*$ , there is some  $j < j^*$  so that

$$\lambda_i := \text{cf}(g^*(i)) \in \{\text{cf}(|y|) : y \in X_j, \text{cf}(|y|) > |X_j|\} =: \mathfrak{b}_j.$$

The point is that for each  $j < j^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}_j \in N$ , even though  $\{\lambda_i : i < \theta^*\}$  may not be. There are  $< \sigma$  many such  $\mathfrak{b}_j$ . In  $N$ , fix pcf generators  $B_\tau^j := B_\tau[\mathfrak{b}_j]$ ,  $\tau < \mu$ . By (a  $J_\lambda^{\text{bd}}$  version of) the characterizations of  $J_{< \mu}^{\sigma\text{-com}}$  we proved and the assumption that  $\text{pp}_{\Gamma(\theta, \sigma)}(\lambda) = \mu$ , for each  $j < j^*$ , there is some  $\kappa_j < \kappa$  so that  $\{\lambda_i : i < \theta^*\} \cap \mathfrak{b}_j \setminus \kappa_j$  is a subset of the union of  $< \sigma$  many of the  $B_\tau^j$ ,  $\tau \leq \mu$ . Now  $B_\tau^j \in N$  since  $\tau \leq \mu$  so  $B_\tau^j$  in  $N$ . We can work on each  $B_\tau^j$  individually to attempt to reduce the values of  $g^*$ .

So fix  $B_\tau^j$  used above. By the calculation of cofinality of products, we can pick a cofinal family  $\mathcal{P}_\tau^j \in N$  in  $(\prod B_\tau^j, <)$  so that  $|\mathcal{P}_\tau^j| = \tau$  and hence  $\mathcal{P}^j$  is a subset of  $N$ .

We define a function  $e \in \prod_{i: \lambda_i \in B_\tau^j} \lambda_i$  by mapping  $i$  to the least  $\epsilon$  so that  $f(i) \in g(i)^{[\epsilon]}$ . Now there is  $e_\tau^j \in \mathcal{P}_\tau^j$  so that  $e < e_\tau^j$ .

Define  $X_j^\tau$  to be

$$X_j \cup \{y^{[e_\tau^j(\text{cf}(|y|))]} : y \in X_j, \text{cf}(|y|) \in \mathfrak{b}_j\}.$$

The family  $X_j^\tau$  is in  $N$  and has size  $< \kappa$ .

We will now define  $h^{**}$  in  $H$ . If  $\lambda_i = 1$ , let  $h^{**}(i) = h^*(i)$ . If  $\lambda_i \in B_\tau^j$  for some  $j, \tau$ , define  $h^{**}(i)$  to be  $h^*(i)^{[e_\tau^j(\lambda_i)]}$  for the lexicographically least such  $(j, \tau)$ .

Let  $A$  be the set of  $i$  for which neither of the two cases above holds, consisting of the indices of the bounded set of  $\lambda_i$  thrown out for each  $j < j^*$  in the construction above. Since  $\text{cf}(\kappa) \geq \sigma$ , there is a  $\kappa^* < \kappa$  so that  $\lambda_i < \kappa^*$  for all  $i \in A$ . We can expand  $X_j^\tau$  for each  $\tau, j$  so that for every  $y \in X_j^\tau$ , if  $\text{cf}(|y|) \leq \kappa^*$  then  $y^{[\epsilon]} \in X_j^\tau$ . Since  $\kappa^* < \kappa$ , adding all of these sets  $y^{[\epsilon]}$  to  $X_j$  keeps the cardinality of  $X_j^\tau$  below  $\kappa$  (and we get the desired  $X_j^\tau$  by iterating this procedure  $\omega$  times, in  $N$ ). Now for  $i \in A$  define  $h^{**}(i) = h^*(i)^{[\epsilon(i)]}$ , where  $\epsilon(i) < \lambda_i$  is minimal with  $f(i) \in h^*(i)^{[\epsilon(i)]}$ .

The collection of all  $X_j^\tau$  ( $j < j^*$  and  $\tau$  taken as above) is of size  $< \sigma$  and witnesses that  $h^{**} \in H$ . However, the associated  $g^{**}$  is  $<_I$ -below  $g^*$ , contradicting minimality of  $g^*$ .  $\square$