

Bibliography

- George Adams and Paul J. Cavalluzzo, “The Supreme Court of Canada A Biographical Study” (1969) 7(1) Osgoode Hall L.J. 61.
- Mark P. Altieri, Jerome E. Apple, Penny Marquette, and Charles K. Moore, “Political Affiliation of Appointing President and the Outcome of Tax Court Cases” (2001) 84 *Judicature* 310.
- Ellen Anderson, *Judging Bertha Wilson: Law as Large as Life* (Toronto: Published for The Osgoode Society for Canadian Legal History by University of Toronto Press, 2001), 128.
- Michael Bader and Edward Burstein, “The Supreme Court of Canada 1892–1902: A Study of the Men and the Times” (1970) Osgoode Hall L.J. 503.
- Lynn A. Baker, “Interdisciplinary Due Diligence: The Case for Common Sense in the Search for the Swing Justice” (1996) 70 *S. Cal. L. Rev.* 187.
- Lawrence Baum, *The Puzzle of Judicial Behavior* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1997).
- David W. Beaubier, "DOs and DON'Ts in Tax Court," 2000 Prairie Provinces Tax Conference, (Toronto: Canadian Tax Foundation, 2000).
- Donald George Hugh Bowman, Ian MacGregor, Al Meghji, Karen R. Sharlow, Elaine S. Sibson, FCA, Joanne E. Swystun, "Tax Tales: A Conversation with Judger and Counsel," Report of Proceedings of Fifty-Fourth Tax Conference, 2002 Tax Conference (Toronto: Canadian Tax Foundation, 2003).
- James J. Brudney, Sara Schiavoni, and Deborah J. Merritt, “Judicial Hostility Toward Labor Unions? Applying the Social Background Model to a Celebrated Concern” (1999) 60 *Ohio St. L.J.* 1675.
- Alan Bryman and James Teevan, *Social Research Methods*, Canadian ed. (Toronto : Oxford University Press, 2005).
- Peter Burnham, Karin Gilland, Wyn Grant and Zig Layton-Henry, *Research methods in Politics* (Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire; New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004).

- Ian Bushnell, *The Captive Court: A Study of the Supreme Court of Canada* (Montreal and Kingston: McGill-Queen's University Press, 1992).
- "Tax Court of Canada 20th Anniversary Symposium" (2005) 53 Canadian Tax Journal 135.
- Frank B. Cross, "Political Science and the New Legal Realism: A Case of Unfortunate Interdisciplinary Ignorance" (1997) 92 Nw. U.L.Rev. 251.
- Frank Cross, Michael Heise and Gregory C. Sisk, "Above the Rules: A Response to Epstein and King" (2002) 69(1) U. Chicago L. Rev. 135.
- Frank B. Cross, "Decision-making in the U.S. Circuit Courts of Appeals" (2003) 91 Cal. L. Rev. 1457.
- Paul H. Edelman and Jim Chen, "The Most Dangerous Justice: The Supreme Court at the Bar of Mathematics" (1996) 70 S. Cal. L. Rev. 63.
- Paul H. Edelman and Jim Chen, "'Duel' Diligence: Second Thoughts About the Supremes as the Sultans of Swing" (1996) 70 S. Cal. L. Rev. 219.
- Paul H. Edelman and Suzanna Sherry, "All or Nothing: Explaining the Size of Supreme Court Majorities" (2000) 78 N.C.L. Rev. 1225.
- Paul H. Edelman and Jim Chen, "The Most Dangerous Justice Rides Again: Revisiting the Power Pageant of the Justices" (2001) 86 Minn. L. Rev. 131.
- Harry T. Edwards, "Collegiality and Decision Making on the D.C. Circuit" (1998) 84 Va. L. Rev. 1335.
- Harry T. Edwards, "The Effects of Collegiality on Judicial Decision Making" (2003) 151 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1639.
- Lee Epstein and Jack Knight, *The Choices Justices Make* (Washington, D.C.: CQ Press, 1998).
- Lee Epstein and Gary King, "The Rules of Inferences" (2002) 69(1) U. Chicago L. Rev. 1.
- Lee Epstein and Gary King, "A Reply" (2002) 69(1) U. Chicago L. Rev. 191.
- Lee Epstein, Jack Knight, and Andrew D. Martin, "The Political (Science) Context of Judging" (2003) 47 Saint Louis U.L.J. 783.

- Lee Epstein, Nancy Staudt, and Peter Wiedenbeck, “Judging Statutes: Thoughts on Statutory Interpretation and Notes for a Project on the Internal Revenue Code” (2003) 13 Wash. U.J.L. & Pol’y 305.
- Lee Epstein, Nancy Staudt, and Peter Wiedenbeck, “Judging Statutes: Thoughts on Statutory Interpretation and Notes for a Project on the Internal Revenue Code” (2003) 13 Wash. U.J.L. & Pol’y 305.
- Roy B. Flemming and Glen S. Krutz, “Repeat Litigators and Agenda Setting on the Supreme Court of Canada” (2002) 35(4) Can. Jl. of Pol. Sci. 811.
- Joseph F. Fletcher, ed., *Ideas in Action: Essays on Politics and Law in Honour of Peter Russell* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1999).
- Donald E. Fouts, “Policy-Making in the Supreme Court of Canada, 1950–1960” in Glendon Schubert & David J. Danelski, eds., *Comparative Judicial Behavior: Cross-Cultural Studies of Political Decision-Making in the East and West* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1969) 257.
- J.E. Fulcher, "Is the "New" Tax Court of Canada Absolutely Bound by Decisions of the Federal Court – Trial Division?" (1992) 40 Canadian Tax Journal 99.
- Marc Galanter, “Why the “Haves” Come Out Ahead: Speculations on the Limits of Legal Change” (1974) 9 Law & Soc. Rev. 160.
- Tracey E. George, “Court Fixing” (2001) 43 Ariz. L.Rev. 9.
- Howard Gillman, “What’s Law Got to Do With It? Judicial Behavioralists Test the ‘Legal Model’ of Judicial Decision Making,” review essay of *Majority Rule or Minority Will* by Harold J. Spaeth and Jeffrey A. Segal, in (2001) Law & Soc. Inq. 465.
- Jack Goldsmith and Adrian Vermeule, “Empirical Methodology and Legal Scholarship” (2002) 69(1) U. Chicago L. Rev. 153.
- Ian Greene, Carl Baar, Peter McCormick, George Szablowski, and Martin Thomas, *Final Appeal: Decision-Making in Canadian Courts of Appeal* (Toronto: James Lorimer & Co., 1998).

- Melissa A. Hardy and Alan Bryman, eds., *Handbook of Data Analysis* (London : SAGE, 2003).
- Lori Hausegger and Stacia Haynie, “Judicial Decisionmaking and the Use of Panels in the Canadian Supreme Court and the South African Appellate Division” (2003) 37(3) *Law & Soc. Rev.* 635.
- Michael Heise, “The Past, Present, and Future of Empirical Legal Scholarship: Judicial Decision Making and the New Empiricism” (2002) *U. Ill. L. Rev.* 819.
- G. V. La Forest, “Judicial Lawmaking, Creativity and Constraints” in Rebecca Johnson, John P. McEvoy, Thomas Kuttner, H. Wade MacLauchlan and DeLloyd J. Guth, eds., *Gérard V. La Forest at the Supreme Court of Canada, 1985-1997* (Winnipeg: Published for the Supreme Court of Canada Historical Society by the Canadian Legal History Project, Faculty of Law, University of Manitoba, 2000) 6.
- J. Scott Long and Jeremy Freese, *Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata*. Revised Edition (College Station, TX: Stata Press, 2003).
- Nancy Maveety, ed., *The Pioneers of Judicial Behavior* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2003).
- W.H. McConnell, *William R. McIntyre: Paladin of the Common Law* (Montreal: Published for Carleton University by McGill-Queen's University Press, 2000).
- Peter McCormick and Ian Greene, *Judges and Judging: Inside the Canadian Judicial System* (Toronto: James Lormier & Co., 1990).
- Peter McCormick, “Party Capability Theory and Appellate Success in the Supreme Court of Canada, 1949–1992” (1993) 26(3) *Can. Jl. of Pol. Sci.* 523.
- Peter McCormick, “Judicial Career Patterns and the Delivery of Reasons for Judgment in the Supreme Court of Canada, 1949-1993” (1994) 5 *Sup. Ct. L. Rev.* 499.
- Peter McCormick, “The Supreme Court Cites the Supreme Court: Follow-Up

- Citation on the Supreme Court of Canada, 1989–1993” (1995) 33 Osgoode Hall L.J. 453.
- Peter McCormick and Tammy Praskach, “Judicial Citation, the Supreme Court of Canada, and the Lower Courts: A Statistical Overview and the Influence of Manitoba” (1996) 24 Man. L.J. 335.
 - Peter McCormick, “Judicial Citation, the Supreme Court of Canada, and the Lower Courts: the Case of Alberta” (1996) 34 Alta. L. Rev. 870.
 - Peter McCormick, “Birds of a Feather: Alliances and Influences on the Lamer Court 1990–1997” (1998) 36 Osgoode Hall L.J. 339.
 - Peter McCormick, “Follow the Leader: Judicial Power and Judicial Leadership on the Laskin Court, 1973–1984” (1998) 24 Queen's L.J. 237.
 - Peter McCormick, “The Most Dangerous Justice: Measuring Judicial Power on the Lamer Court 1991–1997” (1999) 22 Dal. L.J. 93.
 - Peter McCormick, “Second Thoughts: Supreme Court Citation of Dissents & Separate Concurrences, 1949–1999” (2002) 81 Can Bar. Rev. 369.
 - Peter McCormick, “‘With Respect ...’—Levels of Disagreement on the Lamer Court 1990–2000” (2003) 48 McGill Law Journal 89.
 - Kevin T. McGuire, “The Institutionalization of the U.S. Supreme Court” (2004) 12 Political Analysis 128.
 - F. L. Morton, Peter H. Russell, and Michael J. Withey, “The Supreme Court’s First One Hundred Charter of Rights Decisions: A Statistical Analysis” (1992) 30(2) Osgoode Hall L.J. 1.
 - Walter F. Murphy, *Elements of Judicial Strategy* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1964).
 - Richard K. Neumann, Jr. and Stefan H. Krieger, “Empirical Inquiry Twenty-Five Years after the Lawyering Process” (2003) 10 Clin. L. Rev. 349.
 - C. L. Ostberg and Matthew Wetstein, “Dimensions of Attitudes Underlying Search and Seizure Decisions of the Supreme Court of Canada” (1998) 31(4) Canadian Journal of Political Science 767.

- C. L. Ostberg, Matthew E. Wetstein and Craig R. Ducat, “Attitudinal Dimensions of Supreme Court Decision Making in Canada: The Lamer Court, 1991–1995” (2002) 55(1) *Political Research Quarterly* 235.
- C. L. Ostberg, Matthew E. Wetstein and Craig R. Ducat, “Acclimation Effects on the Supreme Court of Canada: A Cross-Cultural Examination of Judicial Folklore” (2003) 84(3) *Social Science Quarterly* 704.
- C. L. Ostberg and Matthew E. Wetstein, “Economic Cases and the Attitudinal Model in the Canadian Supreme Court” (Annual Meeting, Midwest Political Science Association, April 15-18, 2004) <http://www.deltacollege.edu/emp/mwetstein/ostbergwetsteinecon2004.pdf> (date accessed: 14 February 2005).
- Sidney Raymond Peck, “A Behavioural Approach to the Judicial Process: Scalogram Analysis” (1967) 5(1) *Osgoode Hall L.J.* 1.
- S. R. Peck, “The Supreme Court of Canada, 1958–1966: A Search for Policy through Scalogram Analysis” (1967) 45 *Can. Bar. Rev.* 666.
- Sidney R. Peck, “A Scalogram Analysis of the Supreme Court of Canada, 1958–1967” in Glendon Schubert and David J. Danelski, eds., *Comparative Judicial Behavior: Cross-Cultural Studies of Political Decision-Making in the East and West* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1969).
- H.W. Perry, “Taking Political Science Seriously” (2003) 47 *Saint Louis U.L.J.* 889.
- C. Herman Pritchett, *The Roosevelt Court: A Study in Judicial Politics and Values, 1937–1947* (New York: Macmillan, 1948).
- Adrian Raftery, *Bayesian Model Selection in Social Research* (1995) 25 *Sociological Methodology* 111.
- Richard L. Revesz, “Environmental Regulation, Ideology, and the D.C. Circuit” (1997) *Va. L. Rev.* 1717.
- Richard L. Revesz, “Ideology, Collegiality, and the D.C. Circuit: A Reply to Chief Judge Harry T. Edwards” (1999) 85 *Va. L. Rev.* 805.

- Richard L. Revesz, “A Defense of Empirical Legal Scholarship” (2002) 69(1) U. Chicago L. Rev. 169.
- Theodore W. Ruger, Pauline T. Kim, Andrew D. Martin, and Kevin M. Quinn, “Essay: The Supreme Court Forecasting Project: Legal and Political Science Approaches to Predicting Supreme Court Decisionmaking” (2004) 104 Colum. L. Rev. 1150.
- Peter H. Russell, *The Supreme Court of Canada as a Bilingual and Bicultural Institution* (Ottawa: Queen’s Printer, 1969).
- Peter H. Russell, *The Judiciary in Canada: The Third Branch of Government* (Toronto: McGraw-Hill Ryerson, 1987).
- John M. Scheb II and William Lyons, “Judicial Behavior and Public Opinion: Popular Expectations Regarding the Factors That Influence Supreme Court Decisions” (2001) Political Behavior 181.
- Daniel Schneider, “Empirical Research about Judicial Reasoning: Statutory Interpretation in Federal Tax Cases” (2001) 31 New Mexico Law Review 325.
- Daniel Schneider, “Assessing and Predicting Who Wins Federal Tax Trial Decisions” (2002) 37 Wake Forest Law Review 473.
- Daniel Schneider, “Statutory Construction in Federal Appellate Tax Cases: The Effect of Judges’ Social Backgrounds and of Other Aspects of Litigation” (2003) 13 Washington University Journal of Law & Social Policy 257.
- Daniel Schneider, “Using the Social Background Model to Explain Who Wins Federal Appellate Tax Decisions: Do Less Traditional Judges Favor the Taxpayer” (2005) 25 Virginia Tax Review 201.
- Glendon A. Schubert, *Quantitative Analysis of Judicial Behavior* (Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1959).
- Glendon Schubert, *The Judicial Mind: The Attitudes and Ideologies of Supreme Court Justices 1946–1963* (Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 1965).
- Glendon Schubert, *The Judicial Mind Revisited: Psychometric Analysis of Supreme Court Analysis* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1974).

- Jeffrey A. Segal and Harold J. Spaeth, *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model* (Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- Jeffrey A. Segal and Harold J. Spaeth, *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited* (Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- Robert J. Sharpe and Kent Roach, *Brian Dickson: A Judge's Journey* (Toronto: Published for the Osgoode Society for Canadian Legal History by University of Toronto Press, 2003).
- Gregory C. Sisk, Michael Heise, and Andrew P. Morriss, "Charting the Influences on the Judicial Mind: An Empirical Study of Judicial Reasoning" (1998) 73 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 1377.
- Panu Sittiwong, "Canadian Supreme Court Decision-Making: The Personal Attribute Model in Explaining Justices' Patterns of Decision-Making, 1949–1980" (M.A. Thesis, North Texas State University, Department of Political Science, 1985).
- Panu Sittiwong, "Canadian Supreme Court decision-making, 1875–1990: Institutional, group, and Individual level perspectives" (Ph.D Dissertation, University of North Texas, Department of Political Science, 1994).
- Philip Slayton, "A Critical Comment on Scalogram Analysis of Supreme Court of Canada Cases" (1971) 21 U.T.L.J. 393.
- Philip Slayton, "Quantitative Methods and Supreme Court Cases" (1972) 10 Osgoode Hall L.J. 429.
- Donald R. Songer and Susan W. Johnson, "Attitudinal Decision Making in the Supreme Court of Canada" (University of South Carolina, U.S., 2002), in 2002 meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association of Chicago (available on the web at www.cla.sc.edu/poli/faculty/songer/Songer-Johnson.pdf).
- Harold J. Spaeth and Jeffrey A. Segal, *Majority Rule or Minority Will: Adherence to Precedence on the U.S. Supreme Court* (Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- Nancy Staudt, Lee Epstein, Peter Wiedenbeck, Renée Lindstedt & Ryan J.

Vander Wielen entitled “Judging Statutes: Interpretive Regimes” (2006) Loyola-L.A. Law Review at <http://www.lawprofessorblogs.com/taxprof/linkdocs/Nancy%20Staudt%20on%20Judging%20Statutes.pdf> (forthcoming).

- C. Neal Tate, “The Methodology of Judicial Behavior Research: A Review and Critique” (1983) *Political Behavior* 51.
- C. Neal Tate and Panu Sittiwong, “Decision Making in the Canadian Supreme Court: Extending the Personal Attributes Model across Nations” (1989) 51(4) *The Journal of Politics* 900.
- Neal Tate and Roger Handberg, “Time Binding and Theory Building in Personal Attribute Models of Supreme Court Voting Behavior” (1991) 35 *Am. J. Political Science* 460.
- Emerson H. Tiller, and Frank B. Cross, “A Modest Proposal for Improving American Justice” (1999) 99 *Colum. L. Rev.* 215.
- Emerson H. Tiller and Frank B. Cross, “A Modest Reply to Judge Wald” (1999) 99 *Colum. L. Rev.* 262.
- Frederick Vaughan, *Aggressive in Pursuit: The Life of Justice Emmett Hall* (Toronto: Published for the Osgoode Society for Canadian Legal History by University of Toronto Press, 2004).
- Patricia M. Wald, “A Response to Tiller and Cross” (1999) 99 *Colum. L. Rev.* 235.
- Patricia M. Wald, “Last Thoughts” (1999) 99 *Colum. L. Rev.* 270.