

1.6 Rules of inference

We now start to study mathematical proofs. We want to start from a premise (some given information) and make a valid argument to reach a conclusion.

The valid arguments will be tautologies from propositional logic.

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad p}{\therefore q}$$
 "If you have his phone #, then you can call"
"You have his phone #"
Therefore, "You can call"

This is a valid argument because

~~the conclusion is a tautology~~

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T

$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p \rightarrow q$ is a tautology

$$\frac{p}{p \rightarrow q} \quad \text{Modus ponens} \\ \therefore q$$

$$\frac{\neg q}{p \rightarrow q} \quad \text{Modus tollens} \\ \therefore \neg p$$

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r} \quad \text{Hypothetical} \\ \text{Syllogism}$$

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p}{\therefore q} \quad \text{Disjunctive} \\ \text{Syllogism}$$

$$\frac{p}{\therefore p \vee q} \quad \text{addition}$$

$$\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore p} \quad \text{simplification}$$

$$\frac{p \quad q}{\therefore p \wedge q} \quad \text{Conjunction}$$

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p \vee r}{\therefore p \vee r} \quad \text{Resolution}$$

Given the premises $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$, $r \rightarrow s$, and p construct a valid argument to reach the conclusion s .

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. | $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$ | Premise |
| 2. | $r \rightarrow s$ | Premise |
| 3. | $(p \vee q) \rightarrow s$ | Hypothetical syllogism |
| 4. | p | premise |
| 5. | $p \vee q$ | addition |
| 6. | s | hypothetical syllogism |

Now lets add propositional functions + quantifiers.

Remember if $P(x)$ is a propositional function with domain D , then $P(d)$ is a proposition for any $d \in D$. Also $\forall x P(x)$ and $\exists x P(x)$ are propositions.

$\forall x P(x)$
 $\therefore P(c)$ for any $c \in D$

$P(c)$ for arbitrary $c \in D$
 $\therefore \forall x P(x)$

$\exists x P(x)$
 $\therefore P(c)$ for some $c \in D$

$P(c)$ for some $c \in D$
 $\therefore \exists x P(x)$

Let $D = \mathbb{R}$ (real numbers) and
 $P(x) = "x^2 = x"$

Since $0^2 = 0$ (also $1^2 = 1$) we can take $c = 0$ and conclude $\exists x P(x)$.

Start with

"All students in the class know logic"

"If ~~someone~~ knows logic, then they are reasonable"

To conclude a student

George, a student in the class, is reasonable

1. $\forall s L(s)$

2. $L(\text{George})$

3. $\forall s (L(s) \rightarrow R(s))$

4. $L(\text{George}) \rightarrow R(\text{George})$

5. $R(\text{George})$

1.7 Intro to proofs

A Theorem is a important statement which has been shown to be true

A lemma is a helpful "small theorem" use to proof larger results.

A corollary is a statement that follows directly from a theorem.

A conjecture is a statement thought to be true, but has not been proven.

(Mean Value Theorem)

If f is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , ~~then~~ there exists $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

(Collatz Conjecture a.k.a $3n+1$ conjecture)

Let n be any positive integer.

If n is even, replace n by $\frac{n}{2}$

If n is odd, replace n by $3n+1$

Repeat

Conjecture: We eventually reach 1

Ex) 12, 6, 3, 10, 5, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1

Theorem are typically stated as $p \rightarrow q$
"if p , then q " sometime implicitly it is
meant $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$

Thm) If n is an odd integer, then n^2 is odd

Really means $\forall n (P(n) \rightarrow Q(n))$ where the
domain is all integer

$P(n)$: n is odd

$Q(n)$: n^2 is odd

Here n is odd if $n = 2k + 1$ for an integer k .
Otherwise n is even and $n = 2k$.

Let try the proof our theorem.

Pf) Take an arbitrary integer n . Assume
 n is odd so that $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k .
In that case

$$\begin{aligned}n^2 &= (2k + 1)^2 \\ &= 4k^2 + 4k + 1 \\ &= 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1\end{aligned}$$

Since $2k^2 + 2k$ is an integer we see that
 n^2 is odd. Therefore the theorem is proven.

This is an example of a direct proof

Try proving the next theorem

Thm) If m & n are odd, then mn is odd.

Pf)

A real number r is rational if $r = \frac{a}{b}$ for integers a, b with $b \neq 0$. Otherwise r is irrational.

Thm) If x is irrational, then $\frac{1}{x}$ is irrational

Pf) we will show if $\frac{1}{x}$ is rational, then x is rational.
This is the logically equivalent contrapositive.
Assume $\frac{1}{x} = \frac{a}{b}$ is an arbitrary rational number. Here $a, b \neq 0$ are integers (why both $a, b \neq 0$). Then $x = \frac{b}{a}$ is rational. □

This is a proof by contrapositive

Try proof by Contrapositive

Thm) If n is an integer and $7n+4$ is even, then n is even.

Pf)