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Abstract

We present the rare case of an adult patient, FS, who had a right anterior temporal lobe resection during infancy to treat intractable epilepsy, and underwent a cognitive evaluation 19 years later. Given the paucity of literature on long-term outcomes for infants who receive neurosurgery for epilepsy, this case provides valuable information for both clinicians and patients. What little literature exists on infant and child surgical outcomes for epilepsy suggests a variable course, with several areas of possible cognitive and social difficulty. FS’s assessment at the age of 21 revealed only mild difficulties with memory, sequencing, and visual imagery, and spared intellectual functioning, working memory, problem-solving and social cognition, along with a high level of socioeconomic functioning. Thus, the case of FS suggests that neurosurgery during infancy is not necessarily associated with large-scale cognitive impairment, and furthermore, that high levels of functioning both educationally and vocationally are possible after surgical treatment of epilepsy in infancy.
Very little is known about cognitive outcomes for individuals who have undergone large-scale neurosurgery at a very early age, as such events are extremely rare. Here we present data from a patient, FS, who underwent a right temporal lobectomy at just under 24 months of age, to treat intractable epilepsy. At the age of 21 years, despite having approximately one seizure per year and taking Topiramate, which is known to adversely affect cognition, FS exhibited remarkably intact cognitive abilities, despite some areas of difficulty. Her cognitive profile speaks to the resilience of the developing brain and its potential for plasticity.

**Epilepsy and Cognitive and Social Consequences**

Chronic temporal lobe epilepsy has been associated with a number of cognitive impairments, including deficits in overall intellectual capacity, academic achievement, memory functioning, language, visuospatial skills, executive functioning and motor speed (Jokeit & Schacher, 2004; Oyegbile et al., 2004). These impairments are more likely and more pronounced if the onset of seizures is early in life, if the seizures are severe, and of high duration and frequency (Meador, 2002).

Deficits associated with epilepsy are not limited to cognitive functioning; there are also reports of enduring social deficits, although the literature is somewhat inconsistent. Anxiety, depression, psychosis and unusual personality traits such as moralism, humorlessness and paranoia have all been associated with temporal lobe epilepsy (Schulman, 2000). Frontal lobe epilepsy has also been associated with social cognitive deficits, in particular humour appreciation and facial expression decoding (Farrant et al., 2005), and frontal lobe involvement is common in temporal lobe epilepsy (Schulman, 2000). Damage to the right hemisphere may be particularly associated with social functioning deficits, according to a study comparing left and right hemispherectomy patients (Fournier et al., 2008).

**Epilepsy Surgery in Adulthood**

Neurosurgery is commonly used to treat epilepsy. The goal of surgery is to improve seizure control, since both falling over and tonic-clonic seizures can lead to secondary brain lesions and further cognitive impairment (Helmstaedter et al., 2004). Ideally, surgery would cause
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no further cognitive decline, and may even bring about cognitive improvement by enhancing the functioning of intact tissue (Helmstaedter et al., 2004). Nonetheless, research suggests that approximately 50-60% of surgery patients experience long-term declines in memory functioning post-surgery, regardless of the lateralisation of the tissue removal (Helmstaedter & Kockelmann, 2006; Helmstaedter et al., 2003). Seizure-freedom post-surgery has also been linked with better long-term outcomes, in particular, achieving full-time employment (Asztely et al., 2007).

Epilepsy Surgery in Childhood and Infancy

Children with epilepsy have been less frequently studied than adults (Ray & Kotagal, 2005), and even when children are studied, the inclusion of infants is rare. The lack of information about cognitive outcomes from such early life surgeries is likely due to the difficulty in studying such a population; pre- and post-surgery evaluations of cognition are difficult or impossible, making later life cognitive status difficult to link with the effects of surgery. In addition, cerebral changes early in life occur in a highly plastic environment, meaning that brain development may take a unique course, making it difficult to compare results from one person to another. That said, such information is useful for both neurosurgeons and parents of infants with epilepsy, when making decisions regarding treatment options.

Childhood onset of epilepsy has been associated with poorer long-term outcomes than later-life onset, including more cognitive deficits, abnormal neurological development, and smaller brain volumes (Hermann et al., 2002; Hermann et al., 2006). The course of surgery in children with epilepsy appears to parallel adult outcomes, and may indicate a lower risk of memory deterioration, but more systematic research on long-term outcomes is needed to draw strong conclusions, given contradictory results within the literature (Lah, 2004).

One study investigating socioeconomic outcome in 60 children who underwent cortical resections in late childhood or early adolescence ($M = 12.2$ years, $SD = 4.8$), showed that seizure-freedom predicted better educational, vocational and interpersonal outcomes at follow-up ($M = 7.6$ years), although this group’s level of functioning was still lower than expected for the general population (Keene, Loy-English, & Ventureyra, 1998). Other studies have shown similar deficits.
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in child patients even when neurosurgery was successful with regard to seizure reduction. For example, researchers who examined cognitive outcome up to 2 years after temporal-lobe resection in child patients ($M = 9.2$ years of age) found no significant post-surgical cognitive improvements after cortical resections, even for those whose seizures were largely under control (Van Oijen et al., 2006). Another study examined 20 children between 7 and 14 years ($M = 11.6$, $SD = 2.4$) who became seizure-free following temporal lobectomies, and found that left-sided lobectomies were associated with verbal deficits, while right-sided lobectomies were associated with visual or figural deficits (Jambaque et al., 2007). Taken together, these studies suggest that for some child patients, there are long-term cognitive consequences of neurosurgery, despite good seizure control.

But what of patients who undergo surgery even earlier, in infancy? In infancy, epilepsy is more commonly associated with malformations than sclerosis (Bourgeois, 1998), increasing the chances of unusual brain development, and brain abnormalities have been linked to poorer cognitive outcomes (Hermann et al., 2006). In contrast, some research suggests that early onset is associated with better long-term cognitive outcomes (Griffin & Tranel, 2007), and may prevent much of the cognitive decline associated with epilepsy (Engel, 1999). So, again the picture is somewhat mixed. A study examining outcome in 31 children who had cortical resections of various types within the first 3 years of life ($M = 18.3$ months, range = 28 days – 36 months) found that, although the operation was largely successful in reducing seizures (i.e., $77\%$ of children experienced a greater than $90\%$ reduction in seizure frequency), neurological examinations one year post-surgery did not confirm any functional improvements in the seizure-free patients compared to their pre-surgery performance (Duchowny et al., 1998). Research that has examined more long-term consequences, however, has been more promising. Infants with intractable epilepsy ($N = 15; M = 2.3$ years, Range = 5 months to 5 years) who underwent hemispherectomies were followed up approximately 4 years post-surgery were found to be seizure-free in $73\%$ of cases, and there was no evidence of cognitive decline. The authors thus
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concluded that cognitive outcomes are better for infantile surgery as opposed to surgery later in childhood (Lettori et al., 2008).

There is a clear need for further research into neurosurgical interventions in infancy to determine the cognitive and social prognoses of these surgeries. After all, long-term cognitive and social functioning are some of the most important contributors of quality of life. Here we present a relevant case to contribute to this effort. FS is an important example of someone who had a right anterior temporal lobe resection during infancy, and was followed up at the age of 21. Her situation is unusual, both because of her very young age at surgery, and because of the opportunity to assess her cognitive and social functioning 19 years later.

Participant

At the time of her assessment, FS was 21 years old and employed in an accounts-payable department while completing a training course after-hours to become a text editor. As an infant, she was diagnosed with epilepsy and experienced multiple seizures daily. At the age of 1 year and 8 months a calcified lesion was removed from her right temporal lobe. Because this surgery did not resolve her seizures, 4 months later, the remainder of her right anterior temporal lobe was removed. Following the surgery, FS was paralysed on the left side of her body for 7 days. Over the next 4 years, she underwent physiotherapy to regain mobility and strength, and speech therapy to re-learn speech sounds and develop her language skills.

A high-resolution magnetic resonance image (MRI) scan of her brain taken one year prior to her assessment indicated evidence of a right anterior temporal lobectomy, with residual gliotic change extending into the right frontal lobe, according to the neurologist’s report. There was also evidence of widening of the right Sylvian fissure and prominence of the extra-axial fluid spaces over the right frontotemporal region. The anterior portion of the right hippocampus had been excised, and the middle and posterior regions exhibited evidence of gliotic change. Figure 1 presents slices from this scan, illustrating the extent of damage.

[insert Figure 1 about here]
At the time of testing, FS reported poor strength, flexibility and coordination on her left side. Her seizures were largely under control, occurring approximately once per year. FS was taking Topiramate daily, for both seizure control and headaches, and Depo-Provera for treatment of endometriosis. She reported no depressed mood either at interview, or on the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales, a self-report measure of mood (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995). She denied use of recreational drugs or tobacco, and consumed alcohol very rarely.

FS reported memory difficulties, including problems remembering the details of movies despite retaining the overall gist, and problems retaining multi-stage instructions at work. She also reported a short attention span and difficulties with sequencing, explaining that she was unable to re-organise a list of instructions in her mind, forcing her to follow them in the order they were presented to her. Additionally, FS described an inability to conjure mental visual imagery, and a life-long difficulty with mathematics.

Hypotheses

Given the variability among epilepsy patients, and the limited amount of information available on the long-term consequences of epilepsy surgery in infancy, it was difficult to predict what difficulties FS may have, beyond the difficulties she was reporting and those that commonly accompany temporal lobe epilepsy, taking Topiramate and Depo-Provera. Consequently, we administered a comprehensive battery of tests assessing the domains of intellectual ability, attention and concentration, processing speed, verbal and visual memory, executive functioning, sequencing ability, social cognition and visual imagery.

Methods

Neuropsychological testing was conducted over 2 separate sessions, 3 weeks apart. The tasks administered are listed in Table 1, categorized by functional domain. The source for each task’s norms is also listed in Table 1. Standard neuropsychological measures including the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–Third Edition (WAIS-III, Wechsler, 1997a), the Wechsler Memory Scales–Third Edition (WMS-III, Wechsler, 1997b), the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (Rey, 1993; Schmidt, 1996), the Rey Complex Figure Test (Meyers & Meyers, 1996; Rey,
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1993), the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST, Heaton et al., 1993), and the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (DKEFS, Delis, Kaplan, & Kramer, 2001) were administered, and will not be described in detail here. Measures less commonly used in neuropsychological assessments are described below.

**Social Cognition Measures.** The ability to infer the mental states of others was assessed using the Reading-the-Mind-in-the-Eyes Test-revised (Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Hill et al., 2001), which requires participants to indicate which of four mental state terms applies to a target picture that depicts the eye-region of an individual. It is sensitive to subtle differences in the ability to ascribe mental states, and is separate from general intelligence (Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Hill et al., 2001). We also administered the Interpersonal Reactivity Index, a self-report measure of empathic ability (IRI, Davis, 1980; Davis, 1983), and the Autism Spectrum Quotient (ASQ, Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Skinner et al., 2001), a self-report measure of autism-spectrum-like behaviours. The Social Skills and Communication subscales were used to examine her social functioning.

**Visual Imagery.** In light of FS’s self-reported difficulty with imagination, we examined 3 self-report scales of visual imagination. The IRI’s Fantasy subscale appears to measure one’s capacity to imagine oneself as a part of fiction (Mar et al., 2006). The Autism-Spectrum Quotient includes an Imagination subscale, which we deemed relevant to visual imagery. Finally, we included the Openness to Experience scale of the NEO Personality Inventory—Revised (NEO PI—R, Costa & McCrae, 1992), specifically the Fantasy subscale, which includes questions like: “I have a very active imagination.”

**Results**

All of FS’s scores on formal testing are presented in Table 1, including raw or scaled scores, percentile measures, and the range in which her performance fell.

[insert Table 1 about here]

**Spared Cognitive and Social Functions**
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FS’s cognitive profile was characterised by spared function in most areas. Specifically, her current Full Scale IQ fell within normal limits. Her performance on measures of verbal comprehension and visuospatial function were within the average range. When measures of working memory and attention were limited to those with no sequencing components (as with Digit Span), her performance was normal, and her visual attention was average. On simple sequencing tasks, in which she had to apply an over-learned sequence (Trail-Making Test), her performance was normal. Her problem-solving abilities on both concrete and abstract problem-solving were intact. She performed normally on an objective, mental-inference task, and her self-reported empathic ability was within normal limits. She exhibited no deficits on any measures of recognition memory.

Impaired Cognitive and Social Functions

FS exhibited mild to moderate difficulties with verbal fluency, and moderate to severe difficulties with processing speed and cognitive inhibition on the D-KEFS version of the Stroop test. On complex sequencing tasks, when she was required to organise information by imposing a sequence on it (Letter-Number Sequencing, Arithmetic, Picture Arrangement), she was moderately impaired. She reported mild to severe impairment on scales assessing her ability to engage in visual imagery, and reported mild difficulties with communication skills on the Autism-Spectrum Quotient. Her memory performance was variable, with moderate to severe impairment on immediate learning of verbal and visual information, evidence of normal retention at short delays, and mild to moderate difficulties with delayed recall.

Discussion

On the whole, FS’s cognitive and social abilities were remarkably spared, given her anterior lobectomy and the structural abnormalities in her right frontal cortex. Her intellectual function was within normal limits, her language comprehension abilities were in the superior range, and her high-level problem-solving abilities, social perception, and empathic ability were all within normal limits. Her recognition memory was within the high average range, suggesting that information was being encoded, and with the aid of cues, she was able to retrieve learned
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information. Another important strength for FS was her insight and awareness of her difficulties; she was able to clearly describe each of her areas of difficulty prior to testing. FS has recovered extremely well from her brain surgery, perhaps aided by the high level of plasticity of the human brain during early development.

This is not to say that FS has no difficulties at all, but rather that her difficulties are restricted to a small number of domains. It is also important consider her cognitive profile in the context of her Topiramate prescription. A number of neuropsychological deficits are found in people taking Topiramate, including mental slowing (Blum et al., 2006; Gomer et al., 2007) which is a frequent cause of discontinuation of the medication (Bootsma et al., 2008), impaired concentration and short-term memory (Froscher et al., 2005; Gomer et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2006), impaired verbal fluency (Blum et al., 2006; Gomer et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2006; Romigi et al., 2008) and speech (Froscher et al., 2005), as well as difficulties with cognitive inhibition as measured by the Stroop test (Blum et al., 2006).

In addition, FS has a diagnosis of endometriosis, which is associated with pain and depression (Rodgers & Falcone, 2008), for which she takes Depo-Provera, a medication that has side-effects such as dizziness, headaches and nervousness (Murray, 2002), all of which may lower cognitive performance. To our knowledge, little research has been conducted on the direct cognitive consequences of either endometriosis or Depo-Provera.

Another possible factor besides her neurosurgery that may contribute to her cognitive status is that FS has experienced some seizures over the course of her life post-surgery. Although this patient clearly exhibits some impairments, these impairments should be interpreted in the context of factors other than surgery that might have influenced her test performance. Other patients who undergo a similar surgery but do not continue to experience seizures, and thus do not take Topimirate or other drugs for other conditions (e.g., Depo-Provera), may well exhibit an even more intact cognitive profile. As well, all things considered, it is likely that her cognitive performance is better than what would be predicted had no surgical intervention been undertaken, and her seizures continued at the rate she was experiencing pre-surgery. A body of
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Evidence suggests that severe seizure disorders that begin early in life and require aggressive treatment are risk factors for poor cognitive outcome in epilepsy (Asztely et al., 2007; Helmstaedter et al., 2004; Lah, 2004).

FS’s compromised ability to impose a sequence on stimuli is more likely to be related to the integrity of her frontal lobe than that of her temporal lobe (Romine & Reynolds, 2004). Order information has consistently been associated with the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in both animal and human lesion studies (Marshuetz, 2005), as well as fMRI studies with humans with (Sirigu et al., 1996) and without brain damage (Crozier et al., 1999; for a review, see Mar, 2004).

One interesting observation is that FS self-reported a somewhat impaired capacity for imagination across two measures, but exhibited intact mental inference abilities and self-reported normal or superior empathic and social cognitive abilities. Understanding others, or theory-of-mind, and imagination have been linked theoretically (Buckner & Carroll, 2007; Mar & Oatley, 2008). As well, there is meta-analytic evidence based on neuroimaging data that a single network that represents imaginative processes (self-projection or scene-construction) supports theory-of-mind processing (Spreng, Mar, & Kim, in press). This patient, however, appears to exhibit a dissociation between the two, similar to a recent study that provided evidence for a potential functional dissociation between autobiographical memory (which may involve imagination) and theory-of-mind in two patients (Rosenbaum et al., 2007). Clearly, more work in this area is required to clarify this issue.

In conclusion, the case of FS provides heartening evidence that early surgical intervention for epilepsy, specifically anterior temporal lobe resection, does not necessarily lead to large-scale cognitive and social impairments as measured on long-term follow-up. While some difficulties are expected in adulthood, many important functions are spared, and high levels of functioning, including good educational attainment and gainful employment are possible.
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Table 1: Neuropsychological performance. Scores are listed, unless indicated with an *1, in which case raw scores are presented. Reference for norms are included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Compart</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Sub-scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Norm</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention/Auditory</td>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Memory Recall</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long Delay Recall</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Function</td>
<td>Initial Memory</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Semantic Fluency</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Immediate (DMS)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognitive Flexibility</td>
<td>Group (DMS)</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sentence Production</td>
<td>Token Test (DAS-II)</td>
<td>Token substitution score</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Immediate Recall</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Immediate Recall (DMS)</td>
<td>Recognition (Token)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Immediate Recall</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Immediate Recall</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short-Term Memory</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Immediate Recall</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Long Delay Recall</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Immediate Recall</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recognition (Token)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sentence Production</td>
<td>Token Test (DAS-II)</td>
<td>Token substitution score</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>WMS-III</td>
<td>Immediate Recall</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recognition (Token)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Below Average (Wada, 1953a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sentence Production</td>
<td>Token Test (DAS-II)</td>
<td>Token substitution score</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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<td>Attention</td>
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Figure 1 Caption: Slices from an MRI structural scan of patient FS conducted at 20 years. Part A shows saggital slices from a T1 high resolution sequence, visualizing the extent of a right temporal resection. Part B shows a coronal slice from a T2-weighted fast spin echo sequence, illustrating right frontal tissue abnormalities (left is right).