# PhysicsTutor ${ }^{(3)}$ 

Polarizer

Serway 38.47

## Problem:

- Construct a device that rotates the axis of linearly polarized light by 45 degrees from a sequence of ideal polarizers (no loss).
- Each polarizer has an axis that makes the same angle with the adjacent polarizer axis.
- How many polarizers do you need, and what is the angle between adjacent polarizer axes for an intensity reduction of at most $10 \%$ ?
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## Relevant ideas:

- A polarizer illuminated by LP light of intensity $I_{0}$ with a relative angle of $\varphi$ between polarizer axis and original polarization direction yields: $\mathrm{I}_{0} \cos ^{2}(\varphi)$ intensity with LP along its axis.
- A single polarizer at $\varphi=45^{\circ}$ would cut $I_{0}$ in half.
- A sequence of polarizers will work, since $\cos ^{2}(\varphi) \approx 1$ for small $\varphi$.

Equations associated with ideas:
Polarizer


$$
I_{0} \cos ^{2} \varphi=E_{0}^{2} \cos ^{2} \varphi
$$

= transmitted intensity of an ideal polarizer
(a) $p=0$ perfect transmission)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \text { polarizes, } \varphi_{\text {tot }}=\frac{\pi}{4} \text { desired } \therefore \underbrace{n}_{\text {for each }}=\frac{45^{\circ}}{n} \\
& I_{0}\left(\cos ^{2} \frac{45^{\circ}}{n}\right) \cdot\left(\cos ^{2} \frac{45^{\circ}}{n}\right) \cdots\left(\cos ^{2} \frac{45^{\circ}}{n}\right)=I_{0} \cos ^{2 n} \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

$\cos ^{2 n}\left(\frac{\pi}{4 n}\right) \geqslant \frac{9}{10}<\begin{aligned} & \text { transcendental equation } \\ & \text { canst solve, but }\end{aligned}$ can tabulate LHS
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## Strategy

- For $n$ polarizers the angle $\varphi=45 / n$.
- The attenuation factor: $\left[\cos ^{2}(\varphi)\right]^{n}=\cos ^{2 n}(\varphi)$
- We are looking for the smallest $n$ for which the attenuation factor reaches 0.9, i.e., the loss is about $10 \%$.
- The inequality is unlikely to be solvable in closed form, we need to generate a table of values for $n=1,2,3$...
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| $\operatorname{LHS}(n=1)=\frac{1}{2}$ | $<$ RHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| LHS | .7286 | .8122 | .8562 | .8835 | .9020 | .9155 |

Solution

DHS $=\cos ^{2 n}\left(\frac{45^{\circ}}{n}\right) ;$ RHS $=0.9$
$\operatorname{LHS}(n=1)=\frac{1}{2}<$ RHS

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHS | .7286 | .8122 | .8562 | .8835 | .9020 | .9155 |

$$
n=6: \varphi=\frac{45^{\circ}}{6}=7.5^{\circ}
$$

Q: can we figure out what happens for a real polarizer?
$\varepsilon I_{0} \cos ^{2} \varphi$ where $\varepsilon=0.8$ ?
$\rightarrow$ add $\varepsilon^{n}$ to the mix, and an ideal $n$-value may emerge! of course, the attenuation will be big!

