

Biology Department/York Federation of Students
Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Course: BIOL 4160 3.0 (Photosynthesis) (fall, 2013) Instructor(s): Roger Lew
 Tutorial/Lab Assistant: Chris Powe

Please answer all questions as accurately and honestly as you can. Write your additional comments in the space(s) provided. Do not sign this form. The instructor will ask a student representative to collect the forms. Circle only one response per statement. A rating of 1 represents the *least* positive response and a rating of 5 represents the *most* positive response.

Motivation:

1. I took this course because it was: **Required (3)** **Elective (15)**

Rating of the Course:

	<i>least</i>			<i>most positive</i>	mean	
2. The course fulfilled the description given in the syllabus	1()	2()	3(1)	4(3)	5(14)	4.72
3. The required textbook(s) were useful	1(2)	2(6)	3(4)	4(3)	5(2)	2.82
4. The required readings were useful	1()	2()	3(1)	4(1)	5(16)	4.83
5. The grading system was fair	1()	2()	3(1)	4(1)	5(16)	4.83
6. I feel I learned a great deal taking this course	1()	2()	3(2)	4(5)	5(11)	4.50
7. The course content was interesting	1()	2(1)	3(1)	4(8)	5(8)	4.28
8. Rate this course overall	1()	2()	3(2)	4(4)	5(12)	4.56

Comments: What did you like most/least about the course?

- *Most: Professor is animated; Least: Content is dry.*
- *Most: This course really fills in the blanks in my biology study; Least: Too many physics and chemistry related topics in the course, making it hard to understand when students don't have strong background.*
- *Most: Great labs; they were fun and I feel I learned a lot; Least: Little bit dull, though perhaps that's just because I'm not into plants, more human physiology.*
- *Most: The professor's interest in the course and his well thought out explanations of difficult concepts.*
- *Most: Content, flexibility in regards to weighting, textbook isn't that much use; Least: Isn't a full year course.*
- *Most: Lecture notes are posted in depth.*
- *Most: There was no [Powerpoint] presentation and the grading scheme is very good.*
- *Most: Prof was excited to teach, which made the course interesting; Least: Too early in the morning.*
- *Most: --; Least: The 8 AM start time.*
- *Most: The style of his lectures: engaging, used the board, explained well; Least: The textbook.*
- *Most: The insights on the applications of enzymes of photosynthesis into the real world, such as measuring blood alcohol with NADPH; Least: The sometimes dry content.*
- *Most: Lectures / lecture notes were very informative and interesting.*
- *Most: Interesting material, knowledgeable instructor, made lectures interactive and more interesting; Least: Textbook was very boring, not very helpful.*
- *Most: Prof, TA, topic; Least: Labs were fun but it was a bit hard because the manual wasn't as good.*
- *Most: I enjoyed pretty much all aspects of the course. The lectures and applications were amazing and the organization of the material was impeccable; Least: The lab was a little bit scattered at times, and slightly unfocussed as to what we were finding.*
- *Most: The music before lectures.*
- *The lecture content and the explanations.*

Comment on the Marking Scheme:

- *It is very good to have a flexible marking scheme.*

Rating of the Instructor:

9. The instructor's command of the course material was extensive	1()	2()	3(1)	4(1)	5(16)	4.83
10. The instructor's presentations were well organized	1()	2()	3(1)	4(2)	5(15)	4.78
11. The instructor explained difficult or abstract concepts well	1()	2()	3(1)	4(5)	5(12)	4.61
12. Graded material was returned promptly	1()	2()	3()	4()	5(18)	5.00
13. The instructor was available and willing to answer questions	1()	2()	3()	4(1)	5(17)	4.94

14. The instructor was able to stimulate interest in the course 1() 2() 3() 4(3) 5(15) **4.83**
 15. Considering all factors, rate the instructor 1() 2() 3(1) 4() 5(17) **4.89**

Comment on the abilities of the instructor:

- *Very good instructor, always trying to engage the class.*
- *Should stop scaring students by using [standing on] a chair to point at slides –Use a laser pointer!*
- *He should continue teaching the class this way because he makes it interesting.*
- *Awesome!*
- *Good job.*
- *Very good professor! Gets you thinking outside of the box.*
- *Awesome!*
- *Dr. Lew is perhaps the best lecturer I have seen, with an enthusiasm and presentation skills matched by few.*

Laboratory:

16. The laboratory assistant was an effective teacher 1() 2() 3() 4(4) 5(14) **4.78**
 17. The lab was an effective learning experience 1() 2() 3(1) 4(7) 5(10) **4.50**

Comment: What did you like most/least about the laboratory experience?

- *Most: TA is very helpful; Least: Purpose of experiments was not clear.*
- *Most: The labs focus on something that I will never explore in molecular biology; Least: Most of the time, I don't get good results...*
- *Most: TA was great, experiments were new and apparatus worked (for the most part) Least: Repetitive in isolation of spinach chloroplasts.*
- *Most: Was able to use equipment that was never used previously; Least: Could have experimented with species other than spinach.*
- *Most: Learned how to do chloroplast isolations –I do them in my dreams now; Least: Relevance to course material wasn't noticeable.*
- *Most: The new techniques that we learned; Least: We mostly used spinach; I would prefer to use a wider range of plants.*
- *Most: The labs were well organized and easy; Least: The pictures for the lab report were hard to distinguish (too many graphs).*
- *Most: Hands on which was useful in helping understand the course; Least: Lots of labs had waiting times and sometimes might not work out due to technical difficulties (aka, computer programs).*
- *Most: Mirrors what is learned in lecture; Least: Protocols could be more clear in some aspects.*
- *Most: Organization; Least: Lab tech, computer equipment.*
- *Most: It allowed us to apply the principles learned in lecture to understand first-hand what occurs in the photosynthetic processes.*
- *Most: Flexibility to "experiment" in different ways (by choosing different starting materials); Least: Large group sizes. Prefer 2 people per group if possible –more "hands-on".*
- *Most: Very helpful TA.*
- *Most: TA.*
- *Most: The environment was relaxed and provided a great opportunity to learn and interact with concepts, as well as talk to the prof and TA; Least: The results were always a bit off from the expectations, which would not have been so bad except the expectations for the lab were not always very clear.*
- *Most: Restriction enzyme digestions.*

Retake:

18. Ignoring any degree or professional requirements, would you have enrolled in this course knowing what you now know? **YES (18) NO ()**

Advice to Prospective Students Thinking About Taking the Course?

From the students....

- Keep up with readings. So much more to photosynthesis than you think. Professor and TA are both awesome.
- Please reconsider your knowledge background before taking this course. Not recommended if you are just from a pure molecular biology background.
- Understand the material! Don't worry about memorizing; it won't help you if you don't have a good grasp of the underlying principles.
- Read notes and make sure you understand all diagrams and you will succeed.
- An understanding of the knowledge is required for this course. Examine previous tests as they display the nature of the questions. Memorization is key, understanding is essential.
- Read notes in depth.
- Mixture of biology and chemistry but it is an easy course if you work hard.
- It was a great course –something so different from our regular bio courses.
- This is not your typical York University course. This is a course that requires you to think outside of what you normally would, and apply what you learn. If you want to learn a new way of seeing photosynthesis, biochemical pathways, and a new understanding of scientific progress, as well as have a great lecturer, this course is for you.
- Other students should enroll.