

**FACULTY OF HEALTH
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY
PSYC 3255 3.0 Section M
THE PSYCHOLOGY OF REASONING, JUDGMENT AND DECISION-MAKING
Wednesday 2:30-5:30 pm
ACE 009
Winter 2024**

This course will be offered **in-person**. There will be no online/synchronous classes or recorded lectures.

CONTACTS AND COMMUNICATION IN THE COURSE

Instructor and TA Information:

Instructor: Professor Maggie Toplak

Email: mtoplak@yorku.ca

Office: 126 BSB

Office Hours: Wednesdays 1-2 pm (before class) or by appointment.

Teaching Assistant: Adam Burnett

Email: adamburn@yorku.ca

Office: 037 BSB

Office Hours: By appointment.

Email Protocol: When you email the professor or TA, please include your Student ID and full name in the body of your email with “PSYC3255 Reasoning” in the subject line. We will not answer emails regarding issues covered in this syllabus – please read the syllabus before emailing.

COURSE PREREQUISITES

- HH/PSYC 1010 6.00 (Introduction to Psychology), with a minimum grade of C.
- HH/PSYC 2110 3.00 3.00 (Developmental Psychology) or HH/PSYC 2120 3.00 (Social Psychology) or HH/PSYC 2260 3.00 (Cognition)
- Completed at least 54 earned credits

COURSE DESCRIPTION

The study of human reasoning and judgment offers us tools to enhance our every day decision-making. Over the last 50 years, we have seen immense progress in our understanding of the cognitive science of how individuals make judgments and perform on measures used to assess reasoning and judgment. Current models and theories that have shaped this field will be discussed, including dual process models and individual difference perspectives. Performance on several paradigms will be examined from the perspective of the Great Rationality Debate. Topics and paradigms will include: framing effects, overconfidence paradigms, probabilistic and

statistical thinking, scientific reasoning and myside thinking. In addition, we will discuss the development of reasoning, judgment in special populations, clinical decision making, and training effects.

PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES AND SPECIFIC LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Program Learning Outcomes:

Upon completion of this course, students should be able to:

1. Demonstrate in-depth knowledge in several paradigms that have been empirically studied in the reasoning, judgment and decision making research fields.
2. Articulate current trends and models that are dominating current thinking in the fields of reasoning, judgment and decision making.
3. Express knowledge in written form on a topic in the reasoning, judgment and decision making research fields .
4. Describe and explain limits to generalizability of research findings.
5. Demonstrate ability to relate the judgment and decision-making concepts to own and others' life experiences through self-reflection exercises in the course.

Specific Learning Objectives:

The Learning Objectives of the course are to:

1. Discuss theoretical models and perspectives that have been used to frame the current study of human reasoning, judgment and decision-making, including dual process models and The Great Rationality Debate. This will include some of the classic theories and studies that have importantly framed the current literature include: the roots of thinking in the classic reasoning literature, the heuristics and biases tradition and prospect theory.
2. Key experimental paradigms will be examined, including: the Wason card selection task and falsification strategies, scientific thinking, probabilistic reasoning, cognitive reflection, belief bias syllogisms, myside bias, overconfidence paradigms and framing effects. An overview and empirical findings of these paradigms will be used to illustrate methodological issues in the study of reasoning, judgment and decision-making. We will also discuss how these paradigms can be used to understand real-world problems and potential applications of this work.
3. The purpose of the selected topics is to provide some breadth on this topic that will appeal to a broad range of students. The following topics will be included: developmental studies, individual differences in performance, clinical applications and real-world outcomes. The diversity in these topics is also intended for students to think about the broad range of applications of reasoning theories, and to stimulate their thinking about the research project that they will develop in this course.
4. This course will devote considerable attention and time to the topic of remediation of reasoning, judgment and decision-making. Some instruction will occur on training studies and on the concept of “environmental interventions” or nudges. Specifically, students will be encouraged to think about simple non-invasive changes in their own environment to prompt better judgment and decision-making.
5. Students will have a structured writing assignment in this course to demonstrate their understanding and to apply several of the concepts in this course.

6. Critical thinking skills will be an important part of each class. In addition to encouraging participation during the didactic lectures, several activities will be used to engage students in each of these topics beyond the course content, including consideration of how this research can be used to understand and address real-world problems.

COURSE READINGS AND MATERIALS

Required Text:

Stanovich, K. E. (2010). *Decision making and rationality in the modern world*. Oxford University Press.

In addition, see readings below indicated in course schedule. These papers are available through the York library's e-resources or through eClass.

COURSE EVALUATION

Assessment	Date of Evaluation	Weighting
Participation Exercises	Participation exercise to be completed each class, either during or after class.	15%
Midterm Exam	February 14, 2023 (during Class 6)	25%
Applying The Concepts: Writing Assignment	This assignment will have multiple components. *See description below for other components of assignments and submission deadlines. Final Paper Due Final Class April 3, 2023 (Class 12)	25%
Final Exam	Exam Period TBD	35%
Total		100%

Description of Assignments:

1. Participation Exercises **15%**
 - Weekly Qualtrics Survey Links to Complete
 - *Perspectives* Program : Constructive Dialogue Institute (8 Interactive Online Lessons [completed outside of class) and 4 Peer-to-Peer Conversations (completed in class)]

2. Examinations
Midterm Exam **25%**

Makeup Exam Date: Tuesday February 27, 2024 at 8 am (Location to be announced)
Final Exam **35%**

Exams will be multiple choice, short answer and essay questions. Exam questions will be primary based on the content covered in the lectures and textbook. Use the lecture content as a guide for directing reading and study efforts for the textbook and additional readings posted on *eClass*. Any suggested supplementary readings will not be covered on the exams. Final exam will **NOT** be cumulative (that is, will only include content covered after Midterm exam), BUT many of the core concepts appear throughout the course and may appear on both the midterm and final exam.

3. Applying The Concepts: Writing Assignment **25%**

Students will be required to write a paper that involves integrating the concepts described in this course in a 5-10 page paper written in standard APA format. Students will select a current topical issue that may elicit myside thinking on a given issue and analyze the discourse surrounding this issue. The components of the assignment will involve: (1) discussing topic and potential outline to be approved by the instructor and/or TA, (2) submitting a first draft to the instructor and TA of the issue defined and elaborated to ensure that the topic and content will be suitable for peer feedback on the issue and perspectives indicated, (3) obtaining peer feedback (and providing peer feedback) that will be integrated into the summary of the final paper. The goal of this assignment is to use peer feedback to help elaborate both (or multiple sides) of the issue and to find or offer suggestions on how to improve the quality of the debate using materials and techniques discussed in the course.

Grading will be based on content displaying understanding of course content, demonstration and quality of responding to peer feedback and integration in final discussion. The content from the myside thinking and *Perspectives* program may be most relevant, but drawing from any of the content from the course is welcome. Including references can make your paper more compelling, specifically citations from published and peer reviewed sources; citing content or lessons from the *Perspectives* program would also be appropriate for this assignment. Clarity of expression (logical progression of ideas, ideas/concepts terms clarified and explained and depth of explanation) and spelling, grammar and punctuation will be part of the grading rubric. Papers should be double spaced, 12 pt font, 1 inch margins and pages numbered. Title page should include title of paper, student name, student number, course code and date. You will be required to submit your final paper through Turnitin (within the course *eClass*; see below).

Further details and examples will be provided during class, including the final rubric.

TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

All of the classes will be taught in-person, unless there are extenuating circumstances. For example, if the university mandates online teaching, if the instructor is ill or if university is closed due to weather, we may shift to an online class for that week. For any online classes, there will be some technical requirements. First off, a computer equipped with a webcam and microphone, as well as high speed internet access are musts.

eClass: All course materials will be available on the course *eClass* (formerly Moodle), unless otherwise indicated by the instructor. This will be your central access point for course information and materials.

Zoom: Zoom will be used if there are any online classes, or possibly for meetings with the instructor or TA. It is hosted on servers in the U.S. This includes recordings done through Zoom. If you have privacy concerns about your data, provide only your first name and first initial of your last name when you join a session. The system is configured in a way that all participants are automatically notified when a session is being recorded. In other words, a session cannot be recorded without you knowing about it.

Tech: Following are some useful links for you regarding computing information and resources: Student Guide to Moodle (eClass) Zoom@YorkU Best Practices Zoom@YorkU User Reference Guide Computing for Students Website Student Guide to eLearning at York University.

CLASS FORMAT AND ATTENDANCE POLICY

All classes and examinations will be conducted in-person. Students are expected to attend all classes. It is the students responsibility to catch up on any missed content by asking a classmate in the event that a class is missed. Classes will not be recorded.

GRADING AS PER SENATE POLICY

The grading scheme for the course conforms to the 9-point grading system used in undergraduate programs at York (e.g., A+ = 9, A = 8, B+ = 7, C+ = 5, etc.). Assignments and tests* will bear either a letter grade designation or a corresponding number grade (e.g. A+ = 90 to 100, A = 80 to 89, B+ = 75 to 79, etc.). For a full description of York grading system see the York University Undergraduate Calendar – [Grading Scheme for 2023-24](#)

MISSED/LATE ASSIGNMENTS

For any missed or late assignment, students **MUST** complete the following online form which will be received and reviewed in the Psychology Undergraduate Office. At this time, due to COVID-19 an Attending Physician's Statement (APS) is not required, however, a reason for missing an evaluated component in the course must be provided.

[HH PSYC: Missed Tests/Exams Form](#)

[link: https://psychology.apps01.yorku.ca/machform/view.php?id=16179](https://psychology.apps01.yorku.ca/machform/view.php?id=16179)

Failure to complete the form within 48 hours of the original deadline will result in a grade of zero for the missed quiz or late assignment.

Reasonable considerations include unexpected medical circumstances. Non-medical circumstances will also be considered, including compassionate grounds, such as death in the family, accident or emergency travel.

Make up exams: Although the content coverage of a make-up exam is the same, the format may be different. Students may only write one make up exam.

INFORMATION ON PLAGIARISM DETECTION
--

To promote academic integrity in this course, you will be required to submit your written work (e.g., course paper) through Turnitin (within the course eClass). Turnitin reviews textual similarity and helps in the detection of possible plagiarism. By using this software, you are allowing your submitted material to be included as a source document in the Turnitin.com reference database, that will be used only for the purpose of detecting plagiarism. Terms applying to the University's use of the Turnitin service are described on Turnitin.com.

ADD/DROP DEADLINES

For a list of all important dates please refer to [Undergraduate Fall/Winter 2023-2024 Important Dates](#)

	Fall (Term F)	Year (Term Y)	Winter (Term W)
Last date to add a course without permission of instructor (also see Financial Deadlines)	September 20	September 20	January 22
Last date to add a course with permission of instructor (also see Financial Deadlines)	September 28	September 28	January 31
Drop deadline: Last date to drop a course without receiving a grade (also see Financial Deadlines)	November 8	February 8	March 11
Course Withdrawal Period (withdraw from a course and receive a grade of "W" on transcript – see note below)	November 9 – December 5	February 9- April 8	March 12- April 8

Add and Drop Deadline Information

There are deadlines for adding and dropping courses, both academic and financial. Since, for the most part, the dates are **different**, be sure to read the information carefully so that you understand the differences between the sessional dates below and the [Refund Tables](#).

You are strongly advised to pay close attention to the "Last date to enrol without permission of course instructor" deadlines. These deadlines represent the last date students have unrestricted access to the registration and enrolment system.

After that date, you must contact the professor/department offering the course to arrange permission.

You can drop courses using the registration and enrolment system up until the last date to drop a course without receiving a grade (drop deadline).

You may [withdraw from a course](#) using the registration and enrolment system after the drop deadline until the last day of class for the term associated with the course. When you withdraw from a course, the course remains on your transcript without a grade and is notated as 'W'. The withdrawal will not affect your grade point average or count towards the credits required for your degree.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY FOR STUDENTS

York University takes academic integrity very seriously; please familiarize yourself with [Information about the Senate Policy on Academic Honesty](#). It is recommended that you review Academic Integrity by completing the [Academic Integrity Tutorial](#) and [Academic Honesty Quiz](#).

ACADEMIC ACCOMMODATION FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

While all individuals are expected to satisfy the requirements of their program of study and to aspire to do so at a level of excellence, the university recognizes that persons with disabilities may require reasonable accommodation to enable them to do so. The university encourages students with disabilities to register with *Student Accessibility Services (SAS)* to discuss their accommodation needs as early as possible in the term to establish the recommended academic accommodations that will be communicated to Course Directors as necessary. **Please let me know as early as possible in the term if you anticipate requiring academic accommodation so that we can discuss how to consider your accommodation needs within the context of this course.** <https://accessibility.students.yorku.ca/>

Excerpt from Senate Policy on Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities:

1. Pursuant to its commitment to sustaining an inclusive, equitable community in which all members are treated with respect and dignity, and consistent with applicable accessibility legislation, York University shall make reasonable and appropriate accommodations in order to promote the ability of students with disabilities to fulfill the academic requirements of their programs. This policy aims to eliminate systemic barriers to participation in academic activities by students with disabilities.

All students are expected to satisfy the essential learning outcomes of courses. Accommodations shall be consistent with, support and preserve the academic integrity of the curriculum and the academic standards of courses and programs. For further information please refer to: [York University Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities Policy](#).

COURSE MATERIALS COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

These course materials are designed for use as part of the Psychology 3255 course at York University and are the property of the instructor unless otherwise stated. Third party copyrighted materials (such as book chapters, journal articles, music, videos, etc.) have either been licensed for use in this course or fall under an exception or limitation in Canadian Copyright law. Copying this material for distribution (e.g. uploading material to a commercial third-party website) may lead to a violation of Copyright law. [Intellectual Property Rights Statement](#).

IMPORTANT COURSE INFORMATION FOR STUDENTS

All students are expected to familiarize themselves with the following information, available on the Senate Committee on Academic Standards, Curriculum & Pedagogy webpage (see Reports, Initiatives, Documents) -

<https://secretariat.info.yorku.ca/files/CourseInformationForStudentsAugust2012-.pdf>

- Senate Policy on Academic Honesty

(<https://www.yorku.ca/secretariat/policies/policies/academic-honesty-senate-policy-on/>) and the Academic Integrity Website (<https://www.yorku.ca/unit/vpacad/academic-integrity/>).

- Ethics Review Process for research involving human participants (<https://www.yorku.ca/research/human-participants/>)
- Course requirement accommodation for students with disabilities, including physical, medical, systemic, learning and psychiatric disabilities (<https://www.yorku.ca/secretariat/policies/policies/academic-accommodation-for-students-with-disabilities-policy/>; *and* <https://www.yorku.ca/secretariat/policies/policies/academic-accommodation-for-students-with-disabilities-guidelines-procedures-and-definitions/>)
- Student Conduct Standards (<https://www.yorku.ca/secretariat/policies/policies/code-of-student-rights-and-responsibilities-presidential-regulation/>)
- Religious Observance Accommodation (<https://www.yorku.ca/secretariat/policies/policies/academic-accommodation-for-students-religious-observances-policy-guidelines-and-procedures/>)

STUDENT ETIQUETTE

You will sometimes be asked to engage with other students in small group exercises during class. This allows you to share your thoughts and questions about the course with one another. Appropriate and respectful behaviour in group discussions is essential.

Follow these guidelines to insure a safe space for all of you:

- Respect others. You, your peers, and the teaching team are real people who are affected by what you say and write. Be mindful of the opinions and feelings of others, even if they differ from your own. General rule: Don't say or write anything online that you would not say publicly.
- Pause before you speak and proofread before you send. Before sending an email, sending a message to a chat or responding to a discussion, review your response to ensure that it is clear, concise and respectful.
- Avoid strong language, all caps, and excessive exclamation points. It is very easy for written text to be misread and misunderstood. Watch out for strong language, and try to identify and avoid potential confusions in your wording before sending messages.
- Avoid slang and use standard English. This is a work environment so please avoid slang terms (e.g., "wassup") and texting abbreviations (e.g., "u" instead of "you").
- Avoid the use of emoticons and emojis. Be careful with humour and avoid sarcasm. The tone of a message is often lost in a written message and do not assume that everyone understands where you are coming from.
- Personal information and confidentiality. Do not reveal confidential information about yourself or others. You are, of course, free to share some personal anecdotes about your life if they are relevant to the topic or question being discussed, but keep in mind that the online space is shared and viewed by others in the course.
- Don't post or share inappropriate material. Be forgiving. Be mindful that for many students in this course, this will be a new way of interacting and that some may have more difficulty communicating in English. Be kind, patient, and understanding with your peers.

CALUMET AND STONG COLLEGES' STUDENT SUCCESS

Calumet and Stong Colleges' Student Success Programming:

[Calumet](#) and [Stong](#) Colleges aim to support the success of Faculty of Health students through a variety of **free programs** throughout their university career:

- [Orientation](#) helps new students transition into university, discover campus resources, and establish social and academic networks.
- [Peer Mentoring](#) connects well-trained upper-year students with first year and transfer students to help them transition into university.
- [Course Representative Program](#) aims to build the leadership skills of its Course Reps while contributing to the academic success and resourcefulness of students in core program classes.
- [Peer-Assisted Study Session \(P.A.S.S.\)](#) involve upper-level academically successful and well-trained students who facilitate study sessions in courses that are known to be historically challenging.
- [Peer Tutoring](#) offers one-on-one academic support by trained Peer Tutors.
- Calumet and Stong Colleges also support students' [Health & Wellness](#), [leadership and professional skills development](#), [student/community engagement and wellbeing](#), [career exploration](#), [Indigenous Circle](#), [awards and recognition](#), and [provide opportunities to students to work or volunteer](#).
- Please connect with your Course Director about any specific academic resources for this class.
- For additional resources/information about our student success programs, please consult our websites ([Calumet College](#); [Stong College](#)), email scchelp@yorku.ca, and/or follow us on Instagram ([Calumet College](#); [Stong College](#)), Facebook ([Calumet College](#); [Stong College](#)) and [LinkedIn](#)
- Are you receiving our weekly email (Calumet and Stong Colleges - Upcoming evens)? If not, please check your Inbox and Junk folders. If you do not find our weekly emails, then please add your 'preferred email' to your Passport York personal profile. If you need support, please contact ccscadm@yorku.ca, and request to be added to the listerv.

HOW TO GET THE MOST OUT OF THIS COURSE

Inspired by and adapted from Steven Pinker's course on Rationality (<https://stevenpinker.com/classes/rationality-gened-1066>)

Based on research in cognitive psychology and our experiences with practices of more and less successful students in past offerings of this and other courses, here is a list of recommendations for getting the most out of this course:

- Show up for the lectures, they are essential for your learning in this course. It is much harder to understand the concepts and the connections between concepts from the handouts, readings and notes taken by other students.
- Give the lectures your undivided attention. If you are using a laptop to take notes, turn off your Web browser and email program, and don't turn them on again until the lecture is over. Many people believe in a myth called "multitasking", but research in cognitive psychology shows that the brain is incapable of processing two streams of verbal material simultaneously.

- Consider taking notes on paper with a pen or pencil, rather than by typing into a computer file. Several studies have shown that people remember material better this way, probably because they can more easily use spatial and visual resources of a 2D page to organize the material, rather than relying only on strings of text. These resources include arrows, circling, underlining, text size, comments on diagrams, and so on.
- In addition, it is optimal to take your own notes. The notes of another student will reflect that student's assumptions, background knowledge, habits and styles, and idiosyncratic associations, which can differ dramatically from your own.
- As you read and study, actively organize the material in your mind and don't try to just memorize the content. Organize the material hierarchically, such as using the weekly roadmap presented in class to help make connections between the concepts and ideas. See if you can explain some of the concepts and ideas to someone else. Aim at a deep understanding of the ideas, not a superficial familiarity with the words and phrases. Can you paraphrase the material using different words from those in the text, lecture, or reading? You may need to read some of the chapters and sections in the textbook multiple times to get a deep understanding.
- Distribute your learning over time. Don't cram or binge-read in an all-nighter the night before the exam.
- Ask and discuss. If you think you don't understand something, it's not a failing but rather an opportunity for learning. Ask your fellow students. Ask our TA during office hours. Ask your professor, especially right after the lecture or during office hours.
- Read the syllabus, assignments and rubrics carefully. We grade according to how well the students carry out the terms of the assignments.

COURSE SCHEDULE

Class/Date	Topic	Readings and Weekly Assignments
Class #1 January 10, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Course Overview and Review Syllabus ● Why should we care about reasoning, judgment and decision-making? ● Defining <i>Rationality</i>: Introduction to the <i>Great Rationality Debate</i>. <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Myside Thinking (Brief Introduction) ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program (Constructive Dialogue Institute): Review and Peer-to-Peer Conversation Overview ● Partner Assigning and Task With Partner 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chapter 1 and 4 (p. 93-97) <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Read Course Syllabus Fully and Carefully ● Ensure Access to <i>eClass</i> ● Ensure access to <i>Perspectives</i> Program (see instructions to students on <i>eClass</i>) ● Complete Partner Task and Qualtrics Link for Participation (Each Complete Qualtrics Link After)
Class #2 January 17, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Framework for Rational Thinking (To Be Used In Course) ● Dual Process Models ● Individual Differences and Rational Thinking: Cognitive Ability and Thinking Dispositions ● Miserly Processing ● The Role Of Knowledge: Knowledge Can Be Helpful And <i>Unhelpful</i> <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Myside <i>Thinking</i> Lecture ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program (Constructive Dialogue Institute): Connections to Myside 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chapter 5 ● Baron, J. (1995). Myside bias in thinking about abortion. <i>Thinking and Reasoning</i>, 1, 221-235. ● Stanovich, West, & Toplak (2013). Myside bias, rational thinking and intelligence. <i>Current Directions in Psychological Science</i>, 22(4), 259-264. <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #1 ● Qualtrics Task: Complete Moral Foundations Questionnaire and Hand Score. Enter Scores in Qualtrics Link.
Class #3 January 24, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Instrumental Rationality or “<i>What to do</i>”: Framing Effects and Temporal Discounting <p><u>Part 2:</u></p>	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chapter 2 <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #2

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Connecting Myside Thinking and <i>Perspectives Program</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Qualtrics Task: Complete After <i>Perspectives</i> Lesson and Readings This Week. <p><u>Supplemental Reading (s):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Detweiler, J. B., Bedell, B. T., Salovey, P., Pronin, E., & Rothman, A. J. (1999). Message framing and sunscreen use: Gain-framed messages motivate beach-goers. <i>Health Psychology, 18</i>(2), 189-196.
Class #4 January 31, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Epistemic Rationality or “What is true”: Knowledge Calibration and Overconfidence <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Brief Review of Key Points in Lessons 1 & 2 ● Peer-to-Peer Conversation 1 (With Partner In Class) 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chapter 3 (pages 51-52, 69-72) <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #3 ● Qualtrics Task: Complete After <i>Perspectives</i> Lesson and Readings This Week.
Class #5 February 7, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Probabilistic and Statistical Thinking <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Review for Midterm (Adam) 	<p><u>Reading:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chapter 3 (pages 53-68, 72-86) <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Study for Midterm next week ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #4 ● Applying the Concepts Written Assignment: Submit proposed issue and outline for Applying the Concepts Written Assignment on <i>eclass</i>.
Class #6 February 14, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Midterm <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Brief Review of Key Points in Lessons 3 & 4 ● Peer-to-Peer Conversation 2 (With Partner In Class) 	<p><u>Reading:</u></p> <p>--</p> <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #5 ● Qualtrics Task: Complete After <i>Perspectives</i> Lesson
READING WEEK (February 19-23)		
Class #7 February 28, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Selection Task: Individual Versus Collaborative Demonstration <p><u>Part 2:</u></p>	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chapter 3 (pages 87-91) ● Evans, J. St. B. T. (1996). Deciding before you think: Relevance and reasoning in the selection task. <i>British Journal of Psychology, 87</i>, 223-240.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scientific Reasoning and Falsification Strategies 	<p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #6 • Qualtrics Task: Complete After <i>Perspectives</i> Lesson and Readings This Week. <p><u>Supplemental Reading (s):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moshman, D., & Geil, M. (1998). Collaborative reasoning: Evidence for collective rationality. <i>Thinking & Reasoning</i>, 4(3), 231-248.
Class #8 March 6, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Development of Reasoning, Judgment and Decision-Making <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Brief Review of Key Points in Lessons 5 & 6 • Peer-to-Peer Conversation 3 (With Partner In Class) 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Albert, D., & Steinberg, L. (2011). Judgment and decision making in adolescence. <i>Journal of Research on Adolescence</i>, 21(1), 211-224. <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #7 • Applying the Concepts Written Assignment: Submit first draft of Applying the Concepts Written Assignment on <i>eclass</i>.
Class #9 March 13, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experimental Demonstration of the Iowa Gambling Task • Special Populations: The Iowa Gambling Task AND Maltreatment Populations <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Great Rationality Debate: Panglossian Versus Meliorist Perspectives and Empirical Approaches 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bechara, A., Damasio, A. R., Damasio, H., & Anderson, S. (1994). Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex. <i>Cognition</i>, 50, 7-15. • Weller, J. A., & Fisher, P. A. (2013). Decision-making deficits among maltreated children. <i>Child Maltreatment</i>, 18(3), 184-194. • Chapter 4 (specific sections will be given in class) <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Complete Lesson #8 • Qualtrics Task: Complete After <i>Perspectives</i> Lesson and Readings This Week.
Class #10 March 20, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical and Medical Decision-Making <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Perspectives</i> Program: Brief Review of Key Points in Lessons 7 & 8 • Peer-to-Peer Conversation 4 (With Partner In Class) 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dawes, R. M., Faust, D., & Meehl, P. E. (1989). Clinical versus actuarial judgment. <i>Science</i>, 243, 1668-1673. • Kahneman, D., & Klein, G. (2009). Conditions for intuitive expertise: A failure to disagree. <i>American Psychologist</i>, 64(6), 515–526.

		<p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Applying the Concepts Written Assignment: Submit peer feedback of Applying the Concepts Written Assignment on <i>eclass</i>.
Class #11 March 27, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Training Effects ● Real-World Associations <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Environmental Interventions or <i>Nudges</i> 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Lehman, D. R., & Nisbett, R. E. (1990). A longitudinal study of the effects of undergraduate training on reasoning. <i>Developmental Psychology</i>, 26, 952-960. ● Rozin, Scott, Dingley, Urbanek, Jiang, & Kaltenbach (2011). Nudge to nobesity I: Minor changes in accessibility decrease food intake. <i>Judgment and Decision Making</i>, 6 (4), 323-332. <p><u>Supplemental Reading (s):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Thaler, R. H. (2018). From cashews to nudges: The evolution of behavioral economics. <i>American Economic Review</i>, 108(6), 1265-87. ● Dayan, E. & Bar-Hillel, M. (2011). Nudge to nobesity II: Menu positions influence food orders. <i>Judgment and Decision Making</i>, 6(4), 333-342.
Class #12 April 3, 2024	<p><u>Part 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Course Wrap-Up and Tying up Themes ● Myside Thinking and <i>Perspectives</i> Program ● Dual Process Models and Critiques ● Actively Open-Minded Thinking: New Developments ● Metareasoning and Metarationality <p><u>Part 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Review and Final Exam Preparation (Adam) 	<p><u>Readings:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chapter 6 <p><u>Assignments:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Applying the Concepts Written Assignment DUE: Submit on <i>eclass</i>. <p><u>Supplemental Reading (s):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Ackerman, R., & Thompson, V. (2017). Meta-reasoning: Monitoring and control of thinking and reasoning. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i>, 21(8), 607-617.